

How MeshMesh cleared every stage of Salesforce's AI security review.

CLIENT

Mesh Mesh, Inc.
AI-native platform

SECTOR

SaaS · AI Platform
Salesforce AppExchange

ENGAGEMENT

Embedded Security Function
vCISO · Arch · Red Team · GRC

OUTCOME

4 / 4 Stages Cleared
No conditional approvals

— THE ENGAGEMENT

How MeshMesh cleared every stage of Salesforce's AI security review.

A multi-layered Salesforce security review — a sequence of deep technical evaluations, testing cycles, and stakeholder reviews, where AI platforms face the highest scrutiny. Timelines don't flex. Reviewers change between stages. The evidence bar only rises. Traditional penetration testing would have satisfied a checklist. It would not have answered the questions Salesforce security was actually asking.

CLIENT	ENGAGEMENT	OUTCOME
Mesh Mesh, Inc. AI-native platform	Embedded AI Security Function vCISO · Architecture · Red Team · GRC	Cleared Every Stage Multi-stage Salesforce review

Three pressures stacked at once

<p>01 / TIME</p> <p>Timelines don't flex for security reviews.</p> <p>As scrutiny around AI intensified, the bar kept rising — requiring consistent, high-confidence responses across repeated evaluations and testing cycles.</p>	<p>02 / SURFACE</p> <p>The new security perimeter isn't fixed.</p> <p>With LLMs and autonomous agents, every API call, tool invocation, and downstream service becomes part of a shifting trust boundary most teams can't fully see.</p>	<p>03 / SCRUTINY</p> <p>Every stage brought new reviewers.</p> <p>Initial security review, a Salesforce-appointed third-party assessment, and AppExchange review — each stage introduced new reviewers and raised the evidence bar.</p>
--	--	---

Why a pen test alone doesn't pass this review

A pen test answers a static checklist. An AI security review tests how your platform behaves when attackers treat the model, its agents, and their tools as the attack surface — a shifting perimeter no checklist or static threat model covers.

<p>TRADITIONAL CHECKLIST</p> <p>A pen test answers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Can logins be bypassed? — Are APIs authenticated? — Are roles properly scoped? — Can SQL be injected? 	<p>+</p> <p>COVERED + EXTENDED</p>	<p>ADVERSARIAL AI ATTACK SCENARIOS</p> <p>Continuous adversarial testing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PROMPT INJECTION Adversarial prompting, instruction override. MULTI-HOP INJECTION Injection chained across agents and systems. EXCESSIVE AGENT AUTONOMY Actions beyond user intent or authorization. TOOL IMPERSONATION Tools and APIs weaponized through agents. TRUST BOUNDARY BREACH LLM between authenticated traffic and data. DATA EXFILTRATION Model outputs leaking protected data.
---	------------------------------------	---

— THE SOLUTION

| We didn't deliver a test. We became a security function.

Most AI security vendors ship one of four playbooks — Scanners, Pen Test firms, vCISO firms, or GRC firms. Alone, none clears a multi-stage Salesforce security review. **Zivis is all four, in one team** — in the room, in the code, in the policies, and in the reviewer's meeting.

— WHAT ZIVIS DID



01

vCISO in every Salesforce review

Jim Goldman — Salesforce's first VP of Global Security GRC, now Zivis co-founder — joined every review call. Reviewers weren't being managed; they were speaking directly to someone who had built the function they operate within.



02

Continuous, shift-left security

Same team reviewed each new feature's architecture before release, then ran adversarial testing after. Most engagements break down where these don't reconcile. We closed the loop inside one team.



03

Pen testing + proprietary adversarial AI

Web, API, and LLM OWASP Top 10s executed in parallel — plus Zivis's proprietary adversarial AI taxonomy: context manipulation, tool impersonation, multi-hop prompt injection. Built on a living threat model of adversarial AI attack surfaces reviewers are now asking about.



04

GRC-as-a-Service in procurement's language

Compliance controls documented and evidenced as fast as findings were remediated. No separate GRC vendor needed to translate pen test results. Every finding tracked to verified closure with retest evidence.

Multi-stage Salesforce review — traced end to end

NO CONDITIONAL APPROVALS • NO REWORK



Internal Readiness



Initial Security Review



3rd-Party Assessment



AppExchange Review

4/4 CLEARED

Approved

TIME TO SIGNAL

< 48h

Engagement to initial AI Security Blitz results

REPORT IN HAND

~2 wks

Across application and API layers

DEEP AI COVERAGE

~4 wks

Full proprietary adversarial scenario library

IN AN ACTIVE SECURITY REVIEW RIGHT NOW?

Talk to **Jim & Jake** directly.

zivis.ai/talk-to-us

Book a Call →